Allotment Assessment and Evaluation Report for New Mexico Standards and Guidelines for Public Land Health Pajarito (#636) – September 17, 2010

| Permittee/Lessee | | | Authorization Number | |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | D C | | currently unauthorize | |
| Livestock Use | Preference | Allotment | Active | Suspended |
| | AUMs | 00636 | to be dete | |
| | Period of Use / | Allotment | Number/Kind | Season of Use |
| | Kind of livestock | Pajarito | n/a | n/a |
| | Percent Public Land | AUMs ar | e authorized at 100% | public land |
| Allotment Profile | Physical Description | Pajarito Allotment is Arriba County, New BLM lands near the and including parts of by Artemisia tridents approximately 6000 Eight soil types are is within the parcels are Chita loam, 0 to 5 pe with rooting depths of and eolian sediments comprise these soils, ranges from 13 to 16 Vegetation is charact Indian ricegrass, galls and sagebrush. Fernando-Hernandez consists of loam and inches. Parent mater sources comprise this between 10 and 14 in Vegetation is charact grama, winter fat, for Orthents-Rock outer consists of gravelly of inches. Parent mater Santa Fe Formation basalt escarpments. | s located directly north Mexico. The allotmore Rio Grande riparian a of the mesa top. It is of the mesa top. It is of the to 7000 feet. dentified within the Beer Bercent slopes. These sover 60 inches. Parents derived from sandstored from sandstored from sandstored to inches. Hazards for exterized by western wheleta, needle and thread clay loams, with rooted to fall to the clay loams, with rooted to the clay loams. | h of Rinconada in Rio ent encompasses the trea extending upslope dominantly covered elevation ranges from BLM parcels. Soils soils consist of loams, at material of alluvium one and igneous rocks cipitation in this area erosion are slight. The eat, blue grama, d, fourwing saltbush evel. The soil ting depths over 60 and precipitation ranges osion are moderate. The eat, galleta, blue sagebrush. Iteep. This soil ing depths over 60 and derived from the Outcroppings are potation in this area |
| | | Petaca very stony los shallow, well drained | e grama, and sideoats am, 1 to 15 percent slad, nearly level to rolli is derived from weath | opes. This is a |

| | | sediment. Average annual precipitation is 12 inches and effective rooting depth is 12 to 20 inches. Hazard of water erosion is moderate. Vegetation is characterized by big sagebrush, western wheatgrass, sideoats grama, fourwing saltbrush, and blue grama. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Royosa-Orthents association, moderately steep. This association consists of moderately sloping to moderately steep, eroded soils along mesa and canyon breaks and on highly dissected hills. Typically, the surface layer has been lost through erosion and the subsoil is exposed. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches and average annual precipitation is 13 inches. Hazard of water erosion is moderate. Vegetation is characterized by pinyon pine, oneseed juniper, Indian ricegrass and blue grama. |
| | | Royosa-Vibo association, moderately sloping. This association consists of nearly level to strongly sloping soils on low dunes and hills. Parent material is derived from mixed alluvium. Annual precipitation is 13 inches and effective rooting depth is 60 inches. Hazard of water erosion is moderate. Vegetation is characterized by pinyon pine, oneseed junpier, sand dropseed, Indian ricegrass, and blue grama. |
| | | Silva-Sedillo association, gently sloping. These soils consist of loams, with rooting depths over 60 inches. Parent material formed from mixed alluvium and eolian material comprises this soil. Average annual precipitation in this area ranges from 11 to 13 inches. Vegetation is characterized by western wheat, blue grama, galleta and fourwing saltbush. |
| | | Tinaja-Rock outcrop complex, 45 to 75 percent slopes. This complex consists of escarpments of colluvium derived from sandstone. Annual precipitation is 13 to 16 inches. Runoff class is high and available water capacity is low. Vegetation is characterized by oneseed juniper, pinyon pine, blue grama, muttongrass, sideoats grama, galleta, and mountain mahogany. |
| | Land Status | BLM State Private |
| | Acreage Management | 5124 0 0 |
| | Management Objectives | The allotment is under an 'Improve' ('I') management category. 'I' category allotments are managed in a manner to help the allotment achieve satisfactory ecological condition in accordance with the Allotment Management Plan. |
| | Key Forage | Western wheat, blue grama, galleta, sand dropseed, |
| | Species | muttongrass, Indian ricegrass, sideoats grama. |
| | Grazing System | No system is used at this time due to being unpermitted. |
| Current Conditions | Actual Use | Actual use reports were not submitted since 1993. This |
| / Management | | allotment has been vacant. Historically 655 AUMs were |

| | permitted for this allotment. | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---------------|
| Utilization | Due to the lack of staff, utilization | studies have not | t been |
| | conducted. | | |
| Climate | The past water year (Oct. 1, 2009 - | - | _ |
| | temperature has been slightly belo | | |
| | Fahrenheit) and precipitation below | | |
| | precipitation). The winter was slig | • | |
| | of precipitation) and was colder (3 The spring was drier (0 to 0.75 inc | _ | |
| | colder (0 to 1 degrees Fahrenheit). | | |
| | average plant growth for cool seas | - | |
| | precipitation was below average (0 | * | |
| | warmer (1 to 2 degrees Fahrenheit | _ | provide below |
| | normal growth for warm season pl | ants. | |
| | Global climate change resulting from | om increasing at | mospheric |
| | CO ₂ levels may accelerate rates of | 1 | |
| | shifts in ecosystem structure (spec | | |
| | We anticipate that our monitoring | | |
| | shifts allowing for management manage impacts resulting from globa | | |
| Trend | In 1989 monitoring transects and p | | |
| Trend | the allotment to establish vegetation | | * |
| | was unable to relocate the old site; | | |
| | established within the vicinity of the | | _ |
| | are kept in the allotment file at the | Taos Field Office | ce, but 2010 |
| | findings are summarized below. | | |
| | | | |
| | Plot #3 | 2010 | |
| | | 2010 | |
| | Ground Cover | | |
| | | (%) | |
| | Ground Cover | (%) | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground | (%) 60 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams | (%) 60 0 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel | (%) 60 0 8 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock | (%) 60 0 8 0 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 (%) | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 (%) | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 (%) 72 22 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA ELEL | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 (%) 72 22 4 | |
| | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA ELEL OPPO | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 1 (%) 72 22 4 1 | |
| Dinarian | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA ELEL OPPO PLJA | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 1 (%) 72 22 4 1 1 | |
| Riparian | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA ELEL OPPO PLJA There are no riparian areas within | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 1 (%) 72 22 4 1 1 1 this allotment. | se for deer |
| Riparian Wildlife | Ground Cover Bare Ground criptogams gravel rock litter ARTR GUSA Species Composition ARTR GUSA ELEL OPPO PLJA | (%) 60 0 8 0 30 1 1 1 (%) 72 22 4 1 1 this allotment. ment include those | |

| | | raptors, turkey vulture, songbirds, and a variety of insects. Elk |
|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | especially use this allotment during winter months. |
| | | Some dietary overlap occurs between wildlife and cattle; however, best management practices would ensure that forage production within this area can support both wildlife and livestock on a sustained basis. |
| | | This allotment has potential for future projects to enhance wildlife habitat through vegetation treatments and water developments. |
| | Threatened and | It is determined that there are no federally listed threatened or |
| | Endangered | endangered species likely to be found in the subject allotment. |
| | Species | There is no designated critical habitat for any species listed by the USFWS within the allotment. |
| | | |
| | | Special status species that are likely to be found on the allotment (seasonally) include bald eagle and ferruginous hawk. |
| Findings / Rationale | | A Rangeland Health Evaluation Matrix was completed on |
| for the New Mexico | | September 17, 2010. This evaluation matrix is from Technical |
| Standards for Public | | Reference 1734-6 "Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland |
| Land Health | | Health." The actual matrix forms are available within the |
| | | allotment file. Below is a summation of the information |
| | | gathered by the on site evaluation. Within the Rangeland |
| | | Health Attributes are three different categories of indicators. |
| | | The categories include; Soil and Site Stability, Hydrologic |
| | | Function and Biotic Integrity. The percent of indicator score |
| | | was created by multiplying an assigned value for departure |
| | | from site descriptions/reference areas by the number of indicators at the level. Departure scores are categorized as: none |
| | | to slight = 5, slight to moderate = 4, moderate = 3, moderate to |
| | | extreme = 2 and extreme = 1. For example, if all indicators |
| | | under Soil/Site Stability were rated none to slight (best |
| | | condition), the equation would be |
| | | 5(score)*10 indicators = 50/50*100 = 100% similarity, or what is |
| | | expected based on an Ecological Site Description. |
| | | Soil and Site Stability |
| | | Three indicators were deemed None to Slight, two were deemed |
| | | Slight to Moderate, five were deemed Moderate, zero were |
| | | deemed Moderate to Extreme, and zero were deemed Extreme |
| | | to Total. Rating: 76% |
| | | |
| | | Hydrologic Function Two indicators were deemed None to Slight, two were deemed |
| | | Two indicators were deemed None to Slight, two were deemed Slight to Moderate, six were deemed Moderate, zero were |
| | | deemed Moderate to Extreme, and zero were deemed Extreme |
| | | to Total. |
| | | |

| | | Rating: 72% |
|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | _ |
| | | Biotic Integrity |
| | | Two indicators were deemed None to Slight, two were deemed |
| | | Slight to Moderate, four were deemed Moderate, one was |
| | | deemed Moderate to Extreme, and zero were deemed Extreme |
| | | to Total. Rating: 71% |
| | | Raung. 71% |
| | | Overall Rating: 73% |
| Uŗ | oland Standard | Upland ecological sites are in productive and sustainable condition within the capability of the site. Upland soils are stabilized and exhibit infiltration and permeability rates that are appropriate for the soil type, climate, and landform. The kind, amount and/or pattern of vegetation provides protection on a given site to minimize erosion and assist in meeting Sate and Tribal |
| | | water quality standards. |
| | | This allotment is not meeting the Upland Standard based on the |
| | | above evaluation and information. Soils show some active |
| | | erosion and degradation. Bare ground is very common and |
| | | pedestals are frequent. A vegetation shift to shrubs has dramatically decreased the amount of herbaceous species |
| | | resulting in less soil stabilizing plants. Improving plant |
| | | communities will help to facilitate better infiltration and |
| | | stabilize the soil surface. |
| | Biotic | Ecological processes such as hydrologic cycle, nutrient cycle, and energy |
| | Communities Standard | flow support productive and diverse native biotic communities, including special status, threatened, and endangered species appropriate to site and species. |
| | | This allotment is not meeting the Biotic Communities Standard based on the above evaluation and information. Historic land |
| | | management practices and changes in wild fire regimes have |
| | | probably impacted the current conditions. Sagebrush is |
| | | extremely dominant. Shrubs make up 94% of the species |
| | | composition. Very few herbaceous plants have allowed erosion |
| | | events to become more frequent. Vegetation treatments will |
| | | benefit the plant and wildlife communities on the allotment. |
| | Riparian | Riparian areas are in a productive, properly functioning and sustainable |
| | Standard | condition, within the capability of that site. |
| | | The Riparian Standard is being met for this allotment. |
| | | Livestock grazing has been excluded from the Rio Grande |
| | | riparian area by fencing. The draft Taos Resource Management |
| | | Plan (RMP) is proposing to exclude livestock grazing below the |
| | | rim preventing livestock use of the springs located within the allotment. |
| Conclusion | | The New Mexico Standards for public land health are not being |
| Conclusion | | met; therefore a Determination Document is warranted. No |
| | | grazing is currently authorized on the allotment. Continued |
| | | monitoring will help establish future trend. It is recommended |

| that vegetation treatments be performed to improve wildlife habitat and promote herbaceous species. It is also recommended to see improvement made by less bare ground and greater herbaceous species composition, especially above |
|--|
| the rim, before this allotment be authorized for grazing. |

Consultation and Coordination

This Assessment and Evaluation Report has been sent or given to the affected permitee(s) / lessee(s), the interested publics and the following interdisciplinary team members for input and review:

Merril Dicks – Archeologist
Scott Draney – Department of Game and Fish
Greg Gustina – Fish Biologist
Pam Herrera-Olivas – Wildlife Biologist
Tami Torres – Outdoor Recreation Planner
Jacob Young – Rangeland Management Specialist
Paul Williams – Archeologist
Valerie Williams – Wildlife Biologist

This document was prepared by: Derek Trauntvein - Rangeland Management Specialist

